


# The Last 13 Months


## ending July 31st, 2023


Protective Services Division, Data Evaluation Unit PSData@cyfd.nm.gov

















				County	Data			
	Rep	orts	In	vestigation	S	Fo	oster Care	
	Accepted	% with 3+ Prior Reports	Total Pending	Overdue	% Sub	Entries	Exits	Short Stays
Cibola	13	38.5%	15	0	8.3%	0	2	0
McKinley	33	15.2%	30	0	18.4%	0	0	1
San Juan	82	20.7%	256	138	15.9%	0	1	0
Sandoval	77	29.9%	303	230	25.0%	0	0	0
Torrance	12	58.3%	26	3	20.0%	5	3	0
Valencia	49	24.5%	335	275	14.8%	1	4	1
Region 1	266	25.9%	965	646	18.9%	6	10	2
Colfax/Union	9	11.1%	15	0	8.3%	1	0	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	28	46.4%	45	0	25.0%	5	0	0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	38	31.6%	54	9	12.2%	0	0	0
Santa Fe	40	27.5%	55	1	27.6%	1	0	0
Taos	30	16.7%	39	6	13.9%	0	5	1
Region 2	145	29.0%	208	16	19.4%	7	5	1
Bernalillo East				0		0	0	0
Bernalillo West				947	21.6%	37	8	10
Bernalillo Placement				0		0	0	0
Region 3	435	34.7%	1453	947	21.6%	37	8	10
Chaves	42	26.2%	59	10	58.8%	1	1	0
Curry	22	31.8%	31	2	15.4%	2	1	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	11	27.3%	16	2	11.1%	0	0	1
Eddy	36	16.7%	51	14	31.0%	1	1	2
Lea	53	34.0%	51	3	22.4%	2	5	0
Roosevelt	16	31.3%	17	0	38.5%	0	0	0
Region 4	180	27.8%	225	31	32.7%	6	8	3
Doña Ana	206	29.6%	266	31	27.1%	3	2	11
Grant/Catron	34	50.0%	62	26	34.5%	0	0	0
Luna/Hidalgo	15	46.7%	52	29	3.8%	0	2	0
Otero	31	38.7%	49	3	11.6%	0	3	1
Sierra	10	60.0%	11	0	25.0%	0	0	0
Socorro	11	27.3%	7	0	30.0%	0	0	2
Lincoln	12	16.7%	13	0	0.0%	0	0	2
Region 5	319	33.9%	460	89	23.4%	3	7	14
NEW MEXICO	1345	31.2%	3311	1729	22.7%	59	38	30

		County Data									
		Place	ments		Chil	dren with F	lan of Ado	ption			
	Total in Placement	% Placed with Relatives	Initial Relative Placement	Placement Moves Rate <sup>2</sup>	Adoption Goal Only	TPR, No Resource	Pre- Adoptive Placement	Finalized Adoptions			
Cibola	38	42.1%		1.77	10	1	0	1			
McKinley	40	52.5%	0.0%	7.25	8	1	0	0			
San Juan	62	56.5%		2.06	12	15	1	0			
Sandoval	28	71.4%		0.00	1	5	0	0			
Torrance	25	20.0%	40.0%	3.25	4	1	3	3			
Valencia	101	49.5%	50.0%	3.27	12	7	0	2 6			
Region 1	294	50.0%	37.5%	3.08	47	30	4	6			
Colfax/Union	13	84.6%	100.0%	2.65	2	1	0	0			
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	94	54.3%	60.0%	4.54	8	39	0	0			
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	38	18.4%		2.93	3	9	0	0			
Santa Fe	36	25.0%	0.0%	1.95	10	8	0	0			
Taos	57	59.6%	0.0%	0.00	9	2	11	0			
Region 2	238	47.1%	50.0%	2.75	32	59	11	0			
Bernalillo East	0			0.00	0	0	0	0			
Bernalillo West	621	43.0%	29.8%	4.31	94	98	1	4			
Bernalillo Placement	0			0.00	0	0	0	0			
Region 3	621	43.0%	29.8%	4.31	94	98	1	4			
Chaves	146	31.5%	0.0%	3.83	21	31	1	1			
Curry	100	37.0%	100.0%	4.42	23	11	0	0			
Quay/Harding/De Baca	17	23.5%	100.0%	0.00	1	3	2	0			
Eddy	133	51.1%	33.3%	1.72	10	30	2	0			
Lea	121	43.0%	50.0%	6.94	30	20	2	0			
Roosevelt	12	33.3%		10.75	0	0	0	0			
Region 4	529	39.9%	55.6%	4.14	85	95	7	1			
Doña Ana	159	44.0%	7.1%	4.10	20	46	4	0			
Grant/Catron	25	20.0%		9.03	2	5	0	0			
Luna/Hidalgo	21	66.7%		0.00	3	6	0	0			
Otero	56	33.9%	0.0%	7.03	7	23	0	2			
Sierra	0				0	0	0	0			
Socorro	9	33.3%		4.03	1	3	0	0			
Lincoln	15	26.7%	100.0%	6.42	1	3	0	0			
Region 5	285	40.4%	17.6%	4.96	33	84	4	2			
NEW MEXICO	1967	43.3%	32.6%	3.99	291	366	27	13			

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>text{Of}$  total entries during the month, percentage of children placed with relatives as their first placement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Placement moves per 1,000 days in foster care (all children). Calculated for the month only, not a rolling 12 months

				County	Data			
	Vis	its		Foster Car	e Provide	rs	Sta	aff <sup>2</sup>
	Visits with Children	Visits with Parents <sup>1</sup>	Relatives	Non- Relatives	New Providers	Discontinued Providers	Turnover	Vacancy
Cibola	100.0%	26.3%	11	6	0	1	49.3%	12.5%
McKinley	100.0%	76.0%	17	14	3	1	29.3%	27.3%
San Juan	98.3%	32.1%	38	20	1	2	60.7%	23.8%
Sandoval	100.0%	50.0%	24	23	2	2	44.7%	11.8%
Torrance	100.0%	33.3%	1	10	0	2	0.0%	20.0%
Valencia	98.9%	22.6%	40	31	5	5	11.1%	5.0%
Region 1	99.3%	34.0%	131	104	11	13	34.1%	15.9%
Colfax/Union	100.0%	81.8%	5	6	1	1	54.5%	0.0%
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	94.2%	0.0%	30	9	2	0	50.0%	33.3%
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	65.0%	0.0%	10	11	0	3	68.6%	57.1%
Santa Fe	83.3%	0.0%	15	21	1	1	110.5%	71.4%
Taos	90.9%	6.9%	13	7	0	0	20.7%	50.0%
Region 2	89.4%	9.4%	73	54	4	5	62.1%	48.9%
Metro Office #1	0.0%	0.0%	130	123	11	16	52.5%	41.7%
Metro Office #2	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0	107.5%	56.0%
Metro Office #3	0.0%	0.0%	0	0	0	0	46.4%	37.5%
Metro Office #4	90.1%		0	0	0	0	36.0%	51.7%
Metro Office #5	0.0%	8.0%	0	0	0	0	24.9%	39.3%
Region 3	90.1%	8.0%	130	123	11	16	49.8%	45.4%
Chaves	91.3%	3.1%	24	17	5	1	44.4%	31.3%
Curry	100.0%	5.2%	15	14	1	1	55.4%	15.4%
Quay/Harding/De Baca	100.0%	53.8%	3	5	2	0	0.0%	0.0%
Eddy	82.7%	1.1%	28	16	0	5	31.9%	21.4%
Lea	93.9%	4.3%	34	28	3	3	18.9%	20.0%
Roosevelt	100.0%	18.2%	4	5	0	0	0.0%	25.0%
Region 4	92.1%	5.6%	108	85	11	10	32.8%	21.2%
Doña Ana	99.3%	26.8%	52	61	4	2	35.6%	17.5%
Grant/Catron	96.0%	0.0%	6	4	0	1	80.0%	42.9%
Luna/Hidalgo	100.0%	77.8%	11	10	1	0	49.0%	16.7%
Otero	100.0%	19.0%	14	10	0	3	69.2%	33.3%
Sierra	0.0%	0.0%	4	2	2	1	0.0%	50.0%
Socorro	100.0%	100.0%	7	6	1	0	85.7%	33.3%
Lincoln	100.0%	0.0%	7	6	1	0	85.7%	33.3%
Region 5	99.3%	27.4%	95	101	8	7	52.9%	26.7%
NEW MEXICO	93.7%	13.7%	537	467	45	51	44.7%	32.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Of parents with a recommended or court-ordered goal of reunification, those visited in their place of residence <sup>2</sup>Turpover and vacancy rates are calculated here for key county-level field positions: Investigations, PPW, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Turnover and vacancy rates are calculated here for key county-level field positions: Investigations, PPW, and Placement workers. Both regular and senior workers are included; supervisors are excluded.

		County Data							
	Estim	ated Case	eloads	Federal/LFC Measures <sup>1</sup>					
	Invest.	Perm. Planning	Plcmt.	Repeat Maltx	Maltx in Foster Care	Perm. In 12 Mos. of Entry	Perm. In 12 Mos. (12- 23)	Perm. In 12 Mos (24+)	Placement Moves Rate
Cibola	5.0	12.0	17.0	8.2%	0.0	11.8%	0.0%	28.6%	7.60
McKinley	7.3	20.0	15.5	18.2%	13.6	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.32
San Juan	13.5	10.5	17.3	12.0%	3.9	21.4%	0.0%	53.8%	9.96
Sandoval	7.8	6.3	18.0	16.0%	34.7	88.9%	12.5%	0.0%	2.00
Torrance	11.0	8.5	14.0	8.0%	0.0	70.0%	0.0%	80.0%	9.73
Valencia	8.0	12.3	15.4	15.9%	23.9	69.6%	0.0%	50.0%	9.63
Region 1	8.8	11.2	16.4	14.5%	12.7	39.2%	2.0%	41.9%	7.71
Colfax/Union	4.5	12.0	14.0	2.9%	0.0	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	10.59
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	6.8	31.7	41.0	10.5%	3.1	56.1%	0.0%	5.9%	3.61
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	37.0	0.0	12.5	15.3%	0.0	21.4%	0.0%	60.0%	12.27
Santa Fe	20.0	33.0	43.0	9.3%	0.0	27.8%	0.0%	83.3%	10.06
Taos	10.5	53.0	20.0	7.2%	15.9	13.6%	0.0%	47.1%	1.76
Region 2	12.2	37.7	23.8	10.0%	5.0	35.6%	0.0%	41.2%	5.63
Bernalillo East	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Bernalillo West	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6%	15.3	27.6%	18.8%	27.2%	6.30
Bernalillo Placement	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00
Region 3	11.7	25.9	23.0	13.6%	15.3	27.6%	18.8%	27.2%	6.30
Chaves	6.2	47.7	21.0	19.0%	4.9	35.6%	25.0%	17.6%	6.23
Curry	4.2	32.0	11.0	14.5%	18.1	33.3%	0.0%	5.0%	6.50
Quay/Harding/De Baca	8.0	11.3	9.0	11.4%	0.0	22.2%	0.0%	100.0%	2.70
Eddy	8.3	32.8	16.0	11.8%	4.5	43.5%	8.1%	38.5%	7.37
Lea	10.8	22.8	21.7	11.1%	25.4	25.9%	0.0%	44.4%	8.93
Roosevelt	8.0	12.0	0.0	4.3%	0.0	28.6%	0.0%	75.0%	9.42
Region 4	7.2	29.3	17.5	13.5%	11.8	35.2%	8.8%	36.1%	6.76
Doña Ana	11.0	17.6	21.5	16.7%	11.9	21.9%	0.0%	29.3%	9.39
Grant/Catron	14.0	25.0	13.0	12.9%	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	19.76
Luna/Hidalgo	4.7	19.0	26.0	3.9%	0.0		0.0%	60.0%	5.19
Otero	9.3	17.7	14.6	12.4%	10.1		0.0%		12.52
Sierra	18.0	0.0	0.0	10.0%	0.0		0.0%		0.00
Socorro	11.0	0.0	17.0	1.5%	0.0	66.7%	0.0%		20.41
Lincoln	11.0	0.0	17.0	1.5%	0.0	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	20.41
Region 5	10.0	19.2	20.9	14.8%	11.1	28.2%	0.0%	37.3%	10.89
NEW MEXICO	9.9	22.0	19.7	13.6%	12.0	32.8%	6.7%	35.4%	7.32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Federal performance measures use a rolling 12-month measurement window that varies depending on the measure. While rolling measurement produces a more stable calculation, trends of improvement will be slow to appear

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

		County Data										
		0,	ver Paymeı	nts			TP	Rs				
	N Child Care Over Payments	N PS Over Payments	\$ Child Care Over Payments	\$ PS Over Payments	Total Over Payments	TPRs Pending	Pending TPRs Filed within 45 Days	% Pending TPRs Filed Timely	Children Freed			
Cibola	0	1	\$0	(\$221)	(\$221)	0	0	0.0%	0			
McKinley	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
San Juan	1	0	(\$123)	\$0	(\$123)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Sandoval	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Torrance	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Valencia	0	4	\$0	(\$883)	(\$883)	0	0	0.0%	0			
Region 1	1	5	(\$123)	(\$1,104)	(\$1,227)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Colfax/Union	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	1	0	0.0%	0			
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	0	2	\$0	(\$229)	(\$229)	0	0	0.0%	0			
Santa Fe	0	3	\$0	(\$2,463)	(\$2,463)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Taos	1	0	(\$433)	\$0	(\$433)	1	1	100.0%	0			
Region 2	1	5	(\$433)	(\$2,692)	(\$3,125)	3	0	0.0%	0			
Bernalillo A	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Bernalillo B	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Bernalillo C	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Bernalillo D	4	8	(\$3,961)	(\$9,970)	(\$13,931)	5	1	20.0%	0			
Bernalillo Placemen	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Region 3	4	8	(\$3,961)	(\$9,970)	(\$13,931)	5	1	20.0%	0			
Chaves	0	1	\$0	(\$529)	(\$529)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Curry	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	1	0	0.0%	0			
Quay/Harding/De Ba	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Eddy	0	2	\$0	(\$576)	(\$576)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Lea	0	10	\$0	(\$4,703)	(\$4,703)	1	0	0.0%	0			
Roosevelt	0	1	\$0	(\$138)	(\$138)	0	0	0.0%	0			
Region 4	0	14	\$0	(\$5,947)	(\$5,947)	4	0	0.0%	0			
Doña Ana	1	6	(\$252)	(\$2,608)	(\$2,860)	3	0	0.0%	1			
Grant/Catron	0	1	\$0	(\$904)	(\$904)	0	0	0.0%	0			
Luna/Hidalgo	0	1	\$0	(\$230)	(\$230)	0	0	0.0%	0			
Otero	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	3	0	0.0%	0			
Sierra	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%				
Socorro	0	0		\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%				
Lincoln	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0.0%	0			
Region 5	1	8	(\$252)	(\$3,742)	(\$3,994)	6	0	0.0%	1			
NEW MEXICO	7	40	(\$4,769)	(\$23,454)	(\$28,224)	19	1	5.3%	1			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>TPR calculations are based on the days between the date that FACTs Notes the charge of Plan was ordered and the TPR was filed.

For more information on how federal measures are calculated, see notes on the data starting on page 10.

	County Data							
			Montl	nly PS Staf	f Supervis	sion		
	N PS Staff Filled FTEs	N PS Staff FTEs Supervised	N Field Staff FTEs	N Field Staff Supervised	N Other Staff FTEs	N Other Staff Supervised	N Lead. Staff FTEs	N Lead. Staff Supervised
Cibola	14	14	10	10	4	4	0	0
McKinley	18	14	10	8	7	5	1	1
San Juan	38	30	26	20	12	10	0	0
Sandoval	37	35	22	22	15	13	0	0
Torrance	10	9	7	6	3	3	0	0
Valencia	36	34	26	26	10	8	0	0
Region 1	153	136	101	92	51	43	1	1
Colfax/Union	8	8	6	6	2	2	0	0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	24	8	15	3	9	5	0	0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	11	6	7	2	4	4	0	0
Santa Fe	56	17	8	5	42	11	6	1
Taos	16	6	10	4	6	2	0	0
Region 2	115	45	46	20	63	24	6	1
Office 1	27	18	24	16	3	2	0	0
Office 2	24	7	21	5	3	2	0	0
Office 3	27	6	24	4	3	2	0	0
Office 4	26	13	22	9	4	4	0	0
Office 5	27	11	24	10	3	1	0	0
Other	82	53	4	3	72	49	6	1
Region 3	213	108	119	47	88	60	6	1
Chaves	37	27	22	15	14	12	1	0
Curry	24	16	14	9	10	7	0	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	8	8	6	6	2	2	0	0
Eddy	24	20	15	13	9	7		0
Lea	25	2	19	2	6	0		0
Roosevelt	7	7	4	4	3	3	0	0
Region 4	125	80	80	49	44	31	1	0
Doña Ana	75	43	46	23	29	20		
Grant/Catron	11	3	7	2	4	1		
Luna/Hidalgo	9	8	8	7	1	1		
Otero	26	19	18	14		5		
Sierra	4	4	2	2	2	2		
Socorro	6	6	4	4	2	2		
Lincoln	4	2	2	1	2	1	0	0
Region 5	135	85	87	53	46	32	2	0
Family Resource Center	9	9	0	0	9	9	0	0
Receiving Center	12	12	0	0	12	12	0	0
Intake	55	54	0	0	54	54	1	0
SCI	76	75	0	0	75	75	1	0
NEW MEXICO	817	529	433	261	367	265	17	

	Family Out	treach: Comi	munity Preve	ention Respo	nse (Select	County Pilot	Program)
*San Miguel, Mora, Lea, Catron,	Screen	Outreach	% of	%	% Outreach	% Outreach	% Contact
Otero, Sierra, Socorro and Lincoln Counties Family	Outs	Accepted	Outreach	Referred,	accepted,	accepted &	made, family
Outreach effective as of August	referred to	Screen	Accepted	not	unable to	referred to Srvs.	did not accept Srvs.
2022	Outreach	Outs	Screen	accepted	contact		
Cibola	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
McKinley	7	7	100.0%	0.0%	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%
San Juan	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sandoval	31	26	83.9%	16.1%	19.2%	22.6%	45.2%
Torrance	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Valencia	18	17	94.4%	5.6%	23.5%	27.8%	44.4%
Region 1	56	50	89.3%	10.7%	20.0%	28.6%	42.9%
Colfax/Union	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	3	3	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	8	6	75.0%	25.0%	16.7%	25.0%	37.5%
Santa Fe	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Taos	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 2	11	9	81.8%	18.2%	22.2%	27.3%	36.4%
Office 1	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Office 2	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Office 3	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Office 4	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Office 5	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 3	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chaves	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Curry	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Quay/Harding/De Baca	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Eddy	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lea	13	11	84.6%	15.4%	27.3%	23.1%	38.5%
Roosevelt	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Region 4	13	11	84.6%	15.4%	27.3%	23.1%	38.5%
Doña Ana	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Grant/Catron	1	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Luna/Hidalgo	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Otero*	11	11	100.0%	0.0%	27.3%	9.1%	
Sierra	1	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Socorro	2	2	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	
Lincoln	3	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Region 5	18	17	94.4%	0.0%	23.5%	5.6%	72.2%
Family Resource Center		0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Receiving Center	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Intake	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
SCI	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
PILOT TOTALS	98	87	88.8%	10.2%	21.8%	23.5%	46.9%

	County Data							
				Discharge				
	Total	Children		3a. gc				
	Children	Discharged to						
	Discharged	Guardianship						
Cibola	2	1	0	0	0	0		0
McKinley	1	0	0	0	0	0		0
San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0		0
Sandoval	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Torrance	3	0	0	0	0	0		0
Valencia	5	0	0	0	0	0		0
Region 1	12	1	0	0	0	0	***************************************	0
Colfax/Union	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
San Miguel/Guad./Mora	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Rio Arriba/Los Alamos	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Santa Fe	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Taos	6	5	0	0	0	0		0
Region 2	6	5	0	0	0	0	***************************************	0
Office 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office 4	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Region 3	18	1	0	0	9	0	0	0
Chaves	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curry	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quay/Harding/De Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eddy	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lea	5	3	0	0	0	0		0
Roosevelt	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Region 4	11	3	0		0	0		0
Doña Ana	13	0	0	0	0	0		0
Grant/Catron	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Luna/Hidalgo	2		0	0	0	0		0
Otero	4	0	0	0	0	0		0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Socorro	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Region 5	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Resource Center		0	0	0	0	0	***************************************	0
Receiving Center	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Intake	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SCI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEW MEXICO	66	10	0	0	0	0		0
TTEVV MEXICO	00	10						

### notes on the data

**SCI Reports:** Counts of reports processed by SCI come from the FACTS system via the sm01a10 report. "Repeat Referrals" is defined here as any report involving a family who has 3 or more prior reports, identified in the sm01a07 report. Percentage of "repeat referrals" is calculated as follows:

**Numerator:** 

Reports involving a family with

3+ prior reports

**Denominator:** 

Total accepted reports

**Pending Investigations:** Counts are pulled from ROM on the last day of each month. The totals are shown above each column.

Completed Investigations: These data are pulled from ROM and include a total of all investigations closed during the month. Percentage of cases substantiated is shown as an unlabeled line on the secondary (right-hand) axis. This percentage is calculated as follows:

**Numerator:** 

Substantiated investigations

**Denominator:** 

Total completed investigations

Foster Care Entries, Exits, and Short Stays: These data come from ROM. Both entries and exits exclude short stays, which are defined as foster care episodes lasting 8 or fewer days.

Children in Care by Placement Type (last day of month): These data are pulled from ROM and visualization is focused on relative placements. The category of "other" includes congregate care settings, runaways, children on trial home visits, and children in pre-adoptive homes. A greater level of detail can be

Placement Moves and Initial Relative Placements: These data are calculated through ROM. The placement moves rate shown in this chart is a month-to-month rate, rather than the rolling 12-month measure included as a federal indicator. Percent of all entries is calculated with short stayers included. These measures are calculated as

#### **Initial Relative Placements**

**Numerator:** 

**Denominator:** 

Children placed with relatives at removal

Children placed in care

for any length of stay

**Numerator:** 

**Placement Moves Rate** 

Moves from one placement to another in the month

Total days in foster care during

**Denominator:** the month by all children in care

Children with a Plan of Adoption: These data, which come from ROM, are intended to track statewide progress addressing adoption and TPR backlogs. Generally, we hope to see the distribution of children with an adoption goal skew more toward the top of the column. We have seen some steady progress on this issue thanks to numerous efforts around the state.

x 1000

**Caseworker Visits:** Caseworker visits with children are displayed in ROM in two reports: One showing a point-in-time count of completed visits, and one showing a historical percentage of completed visits for previous months. At this time, there is no ROM report that captures worker-parent visits. These percentages are calculated twice each month using FACTS report sm0809. The report is run one week prior to the end of the month, and again one week after the end of the month. Data presented in this document are based on the latter. The percentages are calculated as follows:

**Numerator:** 

**Denominator:** 

#### **Worker-Child Visits**

**Numerator:** 

**Denominator:** 

Number of children with a visit during the month

Children in foster care

for the entire month

#### **Worker-Parent Visits**

Number of parents visited in their place of residence during the month

Parents of children in custody with a courtordered or recommended plan of

**Providers:** PS continues efforts to recruit and retain a diverse pool of foster parents to meet the needs of our children in care. Data used for this reporting is obtained from the FACTS report pm02a01. Foster parents who are newly licensed or no longer providing services are identified through month-to-month comparison of this

**Turnover & Vacancy Rates for Key PS Field Positions:** These data are obtained from PS human resources. For this report, turnover and vacancy are presented for key county-level field positions: Investigations, Permanency Planning, and Placement workers and senior workers. Supervisors are excluded. A broader turnover calculation is reported quarterly to the Legislative Finance Committee. The positions included here drive turnover and vacancy at the county level, and staff retention for these positions is crucial for casework continuity and

**Numerator:** 

**Denominator:** 

#### **Vacancy Rate**

Numerator: Number of vacancies (last day of month)

**Denominator:** 

(last day of month)

Total number of positions

#### Turnover Rate

Rolling 12-month sum of voluntary staff

separations

Rolling 12-month average number of filled

positions

**Estimated Caseloads:** These are calculated using data from ROM, FACTS reports, and PS human resources. Each caseload calculation is based on a different definition of "case," depending on the position. Investigation caseloads are calculated using new reports that come in during the month (divided by number of investigators); permanency planning caseloads are based on the number of children in foster care during the month (divided by number of PPWs); and placement caseloads are calculated based on the number of provider families, including ICPC families residing in-state (divided by number of placement workers). The Child Welfare League of America has established maximum caseload standards, which are included in the chart legend.

**Repeat Maltreatment:** This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. Because the children in the denominator experienced their initial incident of maltreatment (substantiated allegation) at least one year ago, improvement on this measure can take some time to show in the data.

Target: **<** 9.1 %

**Numerator:** 

Of the denominator, number of children who had another incident of maltreatment within 12 months

**Denominator:** 

Number of unique children who experienced maltreatment during the 12-month target period (12-23

**Maltreatment in Foster Care:** This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It calculates a rate of maltreatment for children in foster care based on when they are in foster care, regardless of the perpetrator's relationship to the child. The rate is based on 100,000 foster care days.

**Target:** ≤ 8.5

**Numerator:** 

Number of substantiated reports pertaining to children included in the denominator calculation

x 100,000

**Denominator:** 

Number of total days spent in foster care by the population of foster children in a rolling 12-month period

**Permanency in 12 Months of Entry:** This measure is calculated for us in ROM and is a federal reporting measure that uses a 12-month rolling reporting period. It uses an entry cohort of children in foster care that goes back a few years so that its companion measure, foster care re-entries, can be calculated using the children in this numerator as the latter measure's denominator. As such, practice improvements pertaining to this

Target: > 40.5%

**Numerator:** 

Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months

**Denominator:** 

Number of children who entered foster care (excluding short-stayers) during the 12-month period ending two years before the current

**Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 12-23 Months:** This measure is calculated similarly to the one above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 12-23 months at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for children for whom we do not do so within the first year.

Target: > 43.6%

**Numerator:** 

Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (reunification, adoption, or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months Number of children who had been in foster care for 12-23 months at

**Denominator:** 

the start of a rolling 12-month period

**Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Care 24+ Months:** This measure is calculated similarly to the ones above, only this measure's "entry cohort" includes children who have already been in foster care for 24 months or more at the start of the target period. This measure helps us assess our ongoing efforts to achieve permanent outcomes for "long-stayers," a group that often includes children with complex needs.

Target: > 30.3%

**Numerator:** 

Of the denominator, children for whom permanency (likely adoption or permanent guardianship) is achieved within 12 months

**Denominator:** 

Number of children who had been in foster care for 24+ months at

the start of a rolling 12-month period

**Placement Moves Rate per 1,000 Days of Foster Care (Rolling 12 Months):** This is the federal version of the less stable monthly placement moves rate calculation. It uses a rolling 12 month period of measurement, but is calculated the same way. Due to the 12-month time period, initiatives intended to improve placement stability will not impact this outcome very quickly; short-term assessment would be better served using the monthly calculation.

Target: <u><</u> 4.12

**Numerator:** 

Number of placement moves

**Denominator:** 

Total days in foster care during the rolling 12-month period (ending with the current month) by all children in care

x 1,000

**PS Staff Monthly Supervision:** This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services (PS) Staff and Filled FTEs (Full-Time Employees). 'PS Staff' includes; Field Staff, Other Staff & Leadership Staff.

Number of 'PS Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. Numerator: **Target:** > 80% Number of total Filled 'PS Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for **Denominator:** the reported time period **Field Staff Monthly Supervision:** This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Field Staff and Field FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS Field Staff includes: COMs, Investigations, In-Home Services, Permenancy, Placement. Numerator: Number of 'Field Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. **Target:** ≥ 80% Number of total Filled 'Field Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) for **Denominator:** the reported time period Other Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for PS Other Staff and Other FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Other Staff' include and are not limited to: All non-field/non-caseload work roles (Admin., Legal, Adoptions, Central Office Special **Numerator:** Number of 'Other Staff' Supervised for the reported time period. **Target:** > 80% Number of total Filled 'Other Staff' FTEs (Full Time Employees) **Denominator:** for the reported time period Leadership Staff Monthly Supervision: This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total supervision for Protective Services Leadership Staff and Leadership FTEs (Full-Time Employees). PS 'Leadership Staff' includes: all leadership roles from Regional Office Manager and above. Number of 'Leadership Staff' Supervised for the reported time **Numerator:** period. Target: > 80% Number of total Filled 'Leadership Staff' FTEs (Full Time **Denominator:** Employees) for the reported time period Family Outreach & Community Prevention: \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los Alamos/Rio Arriba, San Miguel/Mora, Lea, Catron, Otero, Sierra, Socorro & Lincoln. Accepted Screen Outs: Number of total screen outs accepted by Outreach for the **Numerator:** reported time period Target: > Number of screen outs referred to Outreach for the reported time **Denominator:** period. Family Outreach & Community Prevention: \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los Alamos/Rio Arriba, San Miguel/Mora, Lea, Catron, Otero, Sierra, Socorro & Lincoln. Not Accepted Screen **Outs:** Number of Outreach non-accepted screen outs for the reported **Numerator:** time period. Target: > Number of screen outs referred to Outreach for the reported time **Denominator:** period.

Family Outreach & Community Prevention: \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los
Alamos/Rio Arriba, San Miguel/Mora, Lea, Catron, Otero, Sierra, Socorro & Lincoln. Screen Outs; Unable to
Contact:

Numerator:

Target: ≥

Denominator:

Number of Outreach accepted screen outs in which no contact
was made with the family for the reported time period.

Number of screen outs referred to Outreach for the reported time period.

Family Outreach & Community Prevention: \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los

Family Outreach & Community Prevention: \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los Alamos/Rio Arriba, San Miguel/Mora, Lea, Catron, Otero, Sierra, Socorro & Lincoln. Screen Outs referred to services:

Target: <u>></u>

**Numerator:** 

Number of Outreach accepted screen outs in which referral was made to services for the reported time period.

**Denominator:** 

Number of screen outs referred to Outreach for the reported time period.

**Family Outreach & Community Prevention:** \*Pilot Counties: McKinley, Sandoval, Valencia, Los Alamos/Rio Arriba, San Miguel/Mora, Lea, Catron, Otero, Sierra, Socorro & Lincoln. **Screen Outs with family declining services:** 

Target: >

**Numerator:** 

Number of Outreach accepted screen outs with contact made and declined services for the reported time period.

**Denominator:** 

Number of screen outs referred to Outreach for the reported time period.

**Children Discharged to Guardianship:** This measure is calculated on a monthly basis reflecting a ratio between total children discharged and children discharged to guardianship.

Target: >

**Numerator:** 

Total children discharged from foster care for the reported time period.

**Denominator:** 

Total children discharged to guardianship for the reported time period.