

State of New Mexico
Children Youth and Families Department

360 Annual Report

State Fiscal year 2021



WELCOME TO 360 YEARLY

About 360 YEARLY

360 YEARLY is published yearly to provide CYFD management, staff, and external partners with data on:

- Reports of child abuse and neglect
- Investigations of child abuse and neglect
- Substantiated cases
- Permanency plans for youth in custody
- Plans for reunifications
- Number of youth in foster care

These data can be used to inform New Mexicans of trends in a particular area and where support may be needed. Data can also indicate positive outcomes in an aspect of the system in a particular county or region. 360 YEARLY is a tool that helps bring data to inform the prevention and treatment process.

About the Data

Data for the County Profiles is prepared through the collaborative efforts of the Data Evaluation Unit and FACTS Unit within the Research, Assessment and Data Bureau and Information Technology Services, with the assistance and guidance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration For Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau.

About Protective Services

Protective Services strives to enhance the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families in New Mexico. Protective Services workers investigate reports of child maltreatment and intervene to keep New Mexico's children safe. We provide foster care to thousands of children and work with families to enable parents to safely care for their children. When that cannot be accomplished, Protective Services workers find safe, permanent families for children through adoption or permanent guardianship. Protective Services also works with youth emancipating from the foster care system to assist them in successfully transitioning into adulthood.

PS Director's Office

Annamarie Luna, Acting Director
Jennifer Archuleta-Earp, Acting Field Deputy Director (Northern Regions: 1&2)
Cynthia Chavers, Field Deputy Director (Bernalillo)
Cecilia Rosales, Field Deputy Director (Southern Regions: 4&5)
Emily Martin, Acting Program Deputy Director
Valerie Sandoval, Administrative Deputy Director

Santa Fe, New Mexico
(505) 827-8400 www.cyfd.org

About the Regional Offices

CYFD is divided into five regions, each one with their own central staff. The Regional Offices are responsible for ensuring that the counties they oversee are supported effectively.

Regional Managers

NW Region 1
Jennifer Archuleta-Earp (505) 771-5917

NE Region 2
Natividad Posada (505) 425-9335

Metro Region 3
Michelle Threadgill (505) 419-7372

SE Region 4
George Arguello (575) 461-0110

SW Region 5
Charles L. Wisecup (575) 430-0790

Reaching CYFD PS Staff

To contact state or local CYFD staff please refer to the following link:
http://cyfd.org/pef_internet_phone_directory.pdf


CONTENTS

SAFETY.....5

PERMANENCY.....12

MAPPED DATA16

REGIONS



Note: Map is a graphic representation and does not show precise county boundaries.

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CHILDREN

All of New Mexico's children are our priority. We work to make sure that every child is safe from abuse and neglect. We also work to strengthen families so that they are safe, healthy and resilient.

For children in CYFD care, we track their progress through our FACTS data system. This report focuses on children in CYFD care and their parents and guardians.

The pages to follow will focus on the levels of reports of abuse and neglect, the unsubstantiated and substantiated investigations, permanency planning, and children in care awaiting adoption.

360 YEARLY is published to support our entire workforce, including external and internal partners, in viewing trends and progress made toward measurable objectives in each county office. The report is designed to be used by many populations:

- CYFD Workforce
- Community Partners
- Policymakers
- General public interested in child welfare and the safety of our children

TRENDS & COMPARISONS

Learning from Trends

360 YEARLY provides all New Mexico residents with data to better understand the status of child abuse and neglect, as well as the response from Protective Services. The data illustrate trends over time to provide a way to view progress and to assess challenges.

Comparing and Contrasting

The report also breaks the data down by regions so that the reader may compare and contrast the data between regions and compare regions to state.

The goals are to generate dialogue, assess the needs of counties and regions, and learn from each region.

Using this Guide

The RAD (Research, Assessment and Data) Bureau designs this publication to be used by community partners and in county office progress meetings so that every office staff member knows how local work is progressing and what form of continuous quality improvement can address challenges.

Intake and Investigations

- ◆ **CPS Reports of Abuse and Neglect**
- ◆ **CPS Investigations**
- ◆ **CPS Allegations**

Statewide Central In-

Figure 1. Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect, July 2020-June 2021:

This chart illustrates the total number of accepted (screened-in) and not-accepted (screened-out) reports of abuse and neglect in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Notes:

1. An Unknown county report is a report that did not contain a zip code at the time the report was entered into the Family And Child Tracking System (FACTS).
2. Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with sufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff.
3. Not-Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with insufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff and may include duplicate reports.

County	Accepted Reports		Not Accepted Reports		Total Reports Received	
	N	% of County	N	% of County	N	% of State Total
Bernalillo	6,269	47.91%	6,816	52.09%	13,085	33.27%
Catron	11	64.71%	6	35.29%	17	0.04%
Chaves	640	51.28%	608	48.72%	1,248	3.17%
Cibola	226	43.13%	298	56.87%	524	1.33%
Colfax	144	46.30%	167	53.70%	311	0.79%
Curry	450	45.55%	538	54.45%	988	2.51%
De Baca	14	58.33%	10	41.67%	24	0.06%
Dona Ana	2,292	49.45%	2,343	50.55%	4,635	11.79%
Eddy	553	54.06%	470	45.94%	1,023	2.60%
Grant	337	43.94%	430	56.06%	767	1.95%
Guadalupe	47	45.63%	56	54.37%	103	0.26%
Harding	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	1	0.00%
Hidalgo	72	49.66%	73	50.34%	145	0.37%
Lea	566	55.38%	456	44.62%	1,022	2.60%
Lincoln	193	48.37%	206	51.63%	399	1.01%
Los Alamos	68	50.00%	68	50.00%	136	0.35%
Luna	247	55.51%	198	44.49%	445	1.13%
McKinley	380	41.71%	531	58.29%	911	2.32%
Mora	46	48.42%	49	51.58%	95	0.24%
Otero	673	52.83%	601	47.17%	1,274	3.24%
Quay	106	53.54%	92	46.46%	198	0.50%
Rio Arriba	363	41.30%	516	58.70%	879	2.24%
Roosevelt	204	43.87%	261	56.13%	465	1.18%
San Juan	1,133	50.00%	1,133	50.00%	2,266	5.76%
San Miguel	279	47.21%	312	52.79%	591	1.50%
Sandoval	1,081	49.18%	1,117	50.82%	2,198	5.59%
Santa Fe	899	47.27%	1,003	52.73%	1,902	4.84%
Sierra	111	53.62%	96	46.38%	207	0.53%
Socorro	244	52.14%	224	47.86%	468	1.19%
Taos	279	49.91%	280	50.09%	559	1.42%
Torrance	163	46.44%	188	53.56%	351	0.89%
Union	39	39.00%	61	61.00%	100	0.25%
Unknown	118	22.61%	404	77.39%	522	1.33%
Valencia	768	52.42%	697	47.58%	1,465	3.73%
State Totals	19,015	48.35%	20,309	51.65%	39,324	100.00%

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Total Reports: This graph illustrates the total number of reports of abuse and neglect from FY13 through FY21 in NM. Source Sm01a10




Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In: This graph illustrates the total number of accepted reports from FY13 through FY21 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: A screening determination on an incoming report is made by State Central Intake (SCI). Once accepted, the PS report is assigned to the appropriate county office for investigation.

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out: This graph illustrates the total number of not accepted reports from FY13 through FY21 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: Reasons for non-acceptance of a report may include:

- No specific allegation/risk of abuse/neglect,
- Insufficient information to investigate,
- Referral to another agency,
- Does not meet sufficiency screening criteria,
- Perpetrator is non-caretaker/out-of-home,
- Referral to law enforcement, and/or Duplicate reports.



Investigations

Figure 1. Investigations July 2020-June 2021: This table illustrates the number of accepted reports, substantiated, and unsubstantiated investigations, and child victims. The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Investigations					SFY 20 Unique Victims	Victim Rate per 1000	
	Total Completed Investigations	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated				County % of State Total Investigations
Bernalillo	6,613	1,187	17.9%	5,426	82.1%	34.8%	1,565	8.8
Catron	11	2	0.0%	9	0.0%	34.8%	2	3.2
Chaves	618	227	36.7%	391	63.3%	0.1%	363	17.5
Cibola	238	67	28.2%	171	71.8%	3.3%	90	11.9
Colfax	139	57	41.0%	82	59.0%	1.3%	109	34.5
Curry	433	110	25.4%	323	74.6%	0.7%	162	10.7
De Baca	13	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	2.3%	9	18.7
Dona Ana	2,179	627	28.8%	1,552	71.2%	0.1%	1,035	16.1
Eddy	565	213	37.7%	352	62.3%	11.5%	306	19.7
Grant	308	82	26.6%	226	73.4%	3.0%	99	13.7
Guadalupe	50	17	34.0%	33	66.0%	1.6%	33	29.4
Harding	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.3%	0	0.0
Hidalgo	65	19	29.2%	46	70.8%	0.0%	27	19.4
Lea	560	131	23.4%	429	76.6%	0.3%	202	9.6
Lincoln	190	56	29.5%	134	70.5%	2.9%	76	17.7
Los Alamos	82	29	35.4%	53	64.6%	1.0%	44	9.5
Luna	232	66	28.4%	166	71.6%	0.4%	91	12.3
McKinley	347	102	29.4%	245	70.6%	1.2%	177	7.1
Mora	36	16	0.0%	20	0.0%	1.8%	25	21.8
Otero	704	153	21.7%	551	78.3%	0.2%	226	12.8
Quay	101	20	19.8%	81	80.2%	3.7%	33	15.0
Rio Arriba	452	168	37.2%	284	62.8%	0.5%	211	19.1
Roosevelt	178	27	15.2%	151	84.8%	2.4%	45	7.2
San Juan	1,112	347	31.2%	765	68.8%	0.9%	578	13.9
San Miguel	321	129	40.2%	192	59.8%	5.8%	195	25.6
Sandoval	1,079	166	15.4%	913	84.6%	1.7%	229	6.0
Santa Fe	843	246	29.2%	597	70.8%	5.7%	347	10.4
Sierra	115	28	24.3%	87	75.7%	4.4%	48	22.6
Socorro	219	47	21.5%	172	78.5%	0.6%	65	13.0
Taos	263	76	28.9%	187	71.1%	1.2%	113	15.1
Torrance	183	48	26.2%	135	73.8%	1.4%	63	14.4
Union	40	17	42.5%	23	57.5%	1.0%	22	21.3
Valencia	724	140	19.3%	584	80.7%	0.2%	218	9.7
State Totals	19,013	4,624	24.3%	14,389	75.7%	3.8%	6,808	11.7

Notes:

1. Not all “accepted reports” result in investigations that are completed during the same period in which the corresponding report was accepted; therefore, completed investigations will **not** match the number of accepted reports in any given year.
2. A victim’s county of residence may be different from the county in which the investigation was completed. Some county offices cover more than one county. Therefore, victim rates and investigation completed may not appear to match in some smaller counties.

Investigations Trends

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations

FY 2013-2021: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) from FY13 through FY21. Source: Sm06a01c





Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations

Percentages FY 2013-2021: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) displayed as percentage from FY13 through FY21. Source: Sm06a01c



“Substantiated” in a child abuse and/or neglect investigation means the victim(s) is under the age of 18, a parent/caretaker has been identified as the perpetrator and/or identified as failing to protect, and credible evidence exists to support the conclusion by the investigation worker that the child has been abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.

“Unsubstantiated” means that the information collected during the investigation does not support a finding that the child was abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.

Allegation Types by County July 2020-June 2021

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegations				
	Substantiated	Unsubstantiated				Substantiated	Unsubstantiated				Substantiated	Unsubstantiated				Substantiated	Unsubstantiated			
County	N	%	N	%	Total	N	%	N	%	Total	N	%	N	%	Total	N	%	N	%	Total
Bernalillo W	502	12.1%	3631	87.9%	4133	66	21.6%	240	78.4%	306	1519	14.4%	9046	85.6%	10565	2087	13.9%	12917	86.1%	15004
Catron	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	2	10.0%	18	90.0%	20	2	7.4%	25	92.6%	27
Chaves	51	17.6%	238	82.4%	289	7	29.2%	17	70.8%	24	308	28.2%	784	71.8%	1092	366	26.0%	1039	74.0%	1405
Cibola	27	20.0%	108	80.0%	135	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	11	96	25.7%	278	74.3%	374	125	24.0%	395	76.0%	520
Colfax	27	35.5%	49	64.5%	76	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8	94	38.2%	152	61.8%	246	123	37.3%	207	62.7%	330
Curry	51	16.6%	256	83.4%	307	2	11.1%	16	88.9%	18	161	21.6%	583	78.4%	744	214	20.0%	855	80.0%	1069
De Baca	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	16	9	33.3%	18	66.7%	27
Dona Ana	281	20.1%	1120	79.9%	1401	34	27.9%	88	72.1%	122	720	21.1%	2695	78.9%	3415	1035	21.0%	3903	79.0%	4938
Eddy	102	32.5%	212	67.5%	314	6	23.1%	20	76.9%	26	345	32.4%	719	67.6%	1064	453	32.3%	951	67.7%	1404
Grant	13	8.1%	148	91.9%	161	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	17	113	19.2%	475	80.8%	588	128	16.7%	638	83.3%	766
Guadalupe	14	40.0%	21	60.0%	35	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5	27	33.8%	53	66.3%	80	41	34.2%	79	65.8%	120
Harding	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Hidalgo	5	14.3%	30	85.7%	35	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4	14	13.1%	93	86.9%	107	21	14.4%	125	85.6%	146
Lea	53	15.9%	281	84.1%	334	15	46.9%	17	53.1%	32	275	26.8%	751	73.2%	1026	343	24.6%	1049	75.4%	1392
Lincoln	17	16.5%	86	83.5%	103	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7	59	17.8%	273	82.2%	332	78	17.6%	364	82.4%	442
Los Alamos	12	15.2%	67	84.8%	79	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5	39	41.5%	55	58.5%	94	53	29.8%	125	70.2%	178
Luna	20	16.9%	98	83.1%	118	2	12.5%	14	87.5%	16	91	22.3%	317	77.7%	408	113	20.8%	429	79.2%	542
McKinley	66	29.9%	155	70.1%	221	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	167	27.0%	451	73.0%	618	234	27.6%	614	72.4%	848
Mora	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	22	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	34	45.9%	40	54.1%	74	44	45.4%	53	54.6%	97
Otero	92	21.9%	329	78.1%	421	5	13.5%	32	86.5%	37	140	12.5%	981	87.5%	1121	237	15.0%	1342	85.0%	1579
Quay	8	17.4%	38	82.6%	46	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	44	22.6%	151	77.4%	195	53	21.6%	192	78.4%	245
Rio Arriba	70	30.3%	161	69.7%	231	4	25.0%	12	75.0%	16	297	32.8%	609	67.2%	906	371	32.2%	782	67.8%	1153
Roosevelt	7	6.7%	97	93.3%	104	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9	31	12.4%	219	87.6%	250	42	11.6%	321	88.4%	363
San Juan	176	23.4%	575	76.6%	751	14	22.6%	48	77.4%	62	465	23.4%	1525	76.6%	1990	655	23.4%	2148	76.6%	2803
San Miguel	101	44.5%	126	55.5%	227	3	17.6%	14	82.4%	17	222	38.5%	355	61.5%	577	326	39.7%	495	60.3%	821
Sandoval	79	10.1%	707	89.9%	786	21	31.8%	45	68.2%	66	183	10.7%	1530	89.3%	1713	283	11.0%	2282	89.0%	2565
Santa Fe	149	25.6%	432	74.4%	581	2	4.7%	41	95.3%	43	373	28.2%	948	71.8%	1321	524	26.9%	1421	73.1%	1945
Sierra	21	29.2%	51	70.8%	72	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	38	18.1%	172	81.9%	210	59	20.8%	225	79.2%	284
Socorro	16	13.3%	104	86.7%	120	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	100	27.2%	268	72.8%	368	117	23.5%	380	76.5%	497
Taos	43	23.4%	141	76.6%	184	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11	134	24.8%	407	75.2%	541	182	24.7%	554	75.3%	736
Torrance	14	14.4%	83	85.6%	97	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12	64	20.8%	243	79.2%	307	82	19.7%	334	80.3%	416
Union	12	40.0%	18	60.0%	30	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	17	32.1%	36	67.9%	53	31	36.5%	54	63.5%	85
Valencia	71	15.1%	399	84.9%	470	10	23.8%	32	76.2%	42	162	14.2%	977	85.8%	1139	243	14.7%	1408	85.3%	1651
State Totals	2113	17.8%	9788	82.2%	11901	221	23.4%	722	76.6%	943	6340	20.1%	25214	79.9%	31554	8674	19.5%	35724	80.5%	44398

Allegation Types

(Previous table)

Figure 1. Allegation Types by County FY13-FY21: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.

Source: Sm06a01c

(Graphs on this page)




Figure 2. Total Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect), from FY13 to FY21. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for unsubstantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c

Sm06a01c

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for substantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c

Sm06a01c



Permanency Outcomes

- ◆ Foster Care
- ◆ Reunification
- ◆ Re-entry
- ◆ Placement Stability
- ◆ Adoptions & Emancipations
- ◆ Emancipations

Children in

Figure 1. Children in Care by Month FY17-FY21: This chart shows children in care by month from FY17-FY21. Note: The colors correspond with the fiscal years noted on the lower left. Source: ROM

Figure 2. Children in Care by Type of Placement: This table illustrates the number of children in care by type of placement from FY17 through FY21. Source: ROM




FIGURE 2. PLACEMENT TYPES OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT THE END OF SFY2019

	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21
Foster Care	1479	1457	1399	1342	1123	877
Foster Care Relative	513	653	586	539	628	631
Trial Home Visit	157	180	165	139	125	104
Pre-Adoptive	105	113	99	81	105	81
Group Home	62	66	67	51	38	69
Facility	108	107	131	118	84	76
Supervised Independent Living	9	3	7	4	8	3
Runaway	41	44	45	48	39	39
Guardianship (Relative)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Home	14	11	9	16	11	0
Detention	0	0	5	3	1	0
Protective Supervision	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	20	27	18	21	14	28
Total	2379	2508	2661	2531	2176	1908

Reunification




Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate Permanency of Children

Re-entry

Figure 2. Percent of re-entering foster care in less than 12 months: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate Permanency of Children without increasing reentry into foster care.

Placement Stability

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop, upgrade and utilize program strate-






Adoptions & Emancipations

Figure 1. Finalized Adoptions: Trends: This line chart shows the number of children with adoptions finalized during the last seven fiscal years. Source: ROM

Figure 2. Finalized Adoptions by Age Groups: Trends: This line chart and accompanying table display the number of children with adoptions finalized by age group. Source: ROM

Figure 3. Youth Aging Out of Foster Care: Trends: This line chart shows the number of youth emancipating from foster care at age 18. Young adults emancipating from foster care are provided with independent living skills and transitional services both before and after emancipation. Source: ROM



State of New Mexico
Children Youth and Families Department

360 Annual Report

State Fiscal year 2021

